

Programming Languages: Functional Programming

Practicals 6. Folds, and Fold-Fusion

(Supplementary Material)

Shin-Cheng Mu

Autumn 2023

1. Express the following functions by *foldr*:

1. $all\ p :: List\ a \rightarrow Bool$, where $p :: a \rightarrow Bool$.
2. $elem\ z :: List\ a \rightarrow Bool$, where $z :: a$.
3. $concat :: List\ (List\ a) \rightarrow List\ a$.
4. $filter\ p :: List\ a \rightarrow List\ a$, where $p :: a \rightarrow Bool$.
5. $takeWhile\ p :: List\ a \rightarrow List\ a$, where $p :: a \rightarrow Bool$.
6. $id :: List\ a \rightarrow List\ a$.

In case you haven't seen them, $all\ p\ xs$ is `True` iff. all elements in xs satisfy p , and $elem\ z\ xs$ is `True` iff. x is a member of xs .

2. Given $p :: a \rightarrow Bool$, can $dropWhile\ p :: List\ a \rightarrow List\ a$ be written as a *foldr*?

3. Express the following functions by *foldr*:

1. $inits :: List\ a \rightarrow List\ (List\ a)$.
2. $tails :: List\ a \rightarrow List\ (List\ a)$.
3. $perms :: List\ a \rightarrow List\ (List\ a)$.
4. $sublists :: List\ a \rightarrow List\ (List\ a)$.
5. $splits :: List\ a \rightarrow List\ (List\ a, List\ a)$.

4. Prove the *foldr*-fusion theorem. To recite the theorem: given $f :: a \rightarrow b \rightarrow b$, $e :: b$, $h :: b \rightarrow c$ and $g :: a \rightarrow c \rightarrow c$, we have

$$h \cdot foldr\ f\ e = foldr\ g\ (h\ e) \ ,$$

if $h\ (f\ x\ y) = g\ x\ (h\ y)$ for all x and y .

5. Prove the *map*-fusion rule $\text{map } f \cdot \text{map } g = \text{map } (f \cdot g)$ by *foldr*-fusion.
6. Prove that $\text{sum} \cdot \text{concat} = \text{sum} \cdot \text{map sum}$ by *foldr*-fusion, twice. Compare the proof with you previous proof in earlier parts of this course.
7. The *map* fusion theorem is an instance of the *foldr-map* fusion theorem: $\text{foldr } f \ e \cdot \text{map } g = \text{foldr } (f \cdot g) \ e$.
 - (a) Prove the theorem.
 - (b) Express $\text{sum} \cdot \text{map } (2 \times)$ as a *foldr*.
 - (c) Show that $(2 \times) \cdot \text{sum}$ reduces to the same *foldr* as the one above.
8. Prove that $\text{map } f \ (xs \ ++ \ ys) = \text{map } f \ xs \ ++ \ \text{map } f \ ys$ by *foldr*-fusion. **Hint:** this is equivalent to $\text{map } f \cdot (++) \ ys = (++) \ \text{map } f \ ys \cdot \text{map } f$. You may need to do (any kinds of) fusion twice.
9. Prove that $\text{length} \cdot \text{concat} = \text{sum} \cdot \text{map length}$ by fusion.
10. Let $\text{scanr } f \ e = \text{map } (\text{foldr } f \ e) \cdot \text{tails}$. Construct, by *foldr*-fusion, an implementation of *scanr* whose number of calls to *f* is proportional to the length of the input list.
11. Recall the function $\text{binary} :: \text{Nat} \rightarrow [\text{Nat}]$ that returns the *reversed* binary representation of a natural number, for example $\text{binary } 4 = [0, 0, 1]$. Also, we talked about a function $\text{decimal} :: [\text{Nat}] \rightarrow \text{Nat}$ that converts the representation back to a natural number.
 - (a) This time, express *decimal* using a *foldr*.
 - (b) Recall the function $\text{exp } m \ n = m^n$. Use *foldr*-fusion to construct *step* and *base* such that

$$\text{exp } m \cdot \text{decimal} = \text{foldr } \text{step } \text{base} \ .$$

If the fusion succeeds, we have derived a hylomorphism computing m^n :

$$\text{fastexp } m = \text{foldr } \text{step } \text{base} \cdot \text{binary} \ .$$
12. Express $\text{reverse} :: \text{List } a \rightarrow \text{List } a$ by a *foldr*. Let $\text{revcat} = (++) \cdot \text{reverse}$. Express *revcat* as a *foldr*.
13. Fold on natural numbers.
 - (a) The predicate $\text{even} :: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$ yields True iff. the input is an even number. Define *even* in terms of *foldN*.
 - (b) Express the identity function on natural numbers $\text{id } n = n$ in terms of *foldN*.
14. Fuse *even* into $(+n)$. This way we get a function that checks whether a natural number is even after adding *n*.

15. The famous Fibonacci number is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fib } 0 &= 0 \\ \text{fib } 1 &= 1 \\ \text{fib } (2 + n) &= \text{fib } (1 + n) + \text{fib } n . \end{aligned}$$

The definition above, when taken directly as an algorithm, is rather slow. Define $\text{fib2 } n = (\text{fib } (1 + n), \text{fib } n)$. Derive an $O(n)$ implementation of fib2 by fusing it with $\text{id} :: \text{Nat} \rightarrow \text{Nat}$.

16. What are the fold fusion theorems for ETree and ITree?