# PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES: FUNCTIONAL PROGRAMMING 1. INTRODUCTION TO HASKELL: VALUE, FUNCTIONS, AND TYPES

Shin-Cheng Mu Autumn 2023

National Taiwan University and Academia Sinica

## A QUICK INTRODUCTION TO HASKELL

- We will mostly learn some syntactical issues, but there are some important messages too.
- Most of the materials today are adapted from the book Introduction to Functional Programming using Haskell by Richard Bird. Prentice Hall 1998.
- References to more Haskell materials are on the course homepage.

## **COURSE MATERIALS AND TOOLS**

- · Course homepage: https://scmu.github.io/plfp/
  - Announcements, slides, assignments, additional materials, etc.
- We will be using the Glasgow Haskell Compiler (GHC).
  - A Haskell compiler written in Haskell, with an interpreter that both interprets and runs compiled code.
  - See the course homepage for instructions for installation and other info.

## FUNCTION DEFINITION

• A function definition consists of a type declaration, and the definition of its body:

square :: Int  $\rightarrow$  Int square x = x  $\times$  x

smaller :: Int  $\rightarrow$  Int  $\rightarrow$  Int smaller x y = if x  $\leq$  y then x else y

• The GHCi interpreter evaluates expressions in the loaded context:

? square 3768 14197824 ? square (smaller 5 (3 + 4)) 25

# VALUES AND EVALUATION

```
One possible sequence of evaluating (simplifying, or reducing) square (3 + 4):
```

square (3+4)

```
One possible sequence of evaluating (simplifying, or reducing) square (3 + 4):
```

```
square (3 + 4)
= { definition of + }
square 7
```

One possible sequence of evaluating (simplifying, or reducing) square (3 + 4):

square (3 + 4)

- = { definition of + }
  - square 7
- = { definition of square }
  7 × 7

One possible sequence of evaluating (simplifying, or reducing) square (3 + 4):

square (3+4)

- $= \{ definition of + \}$ 
  - square 7
- = { definition of square }
  7 × 7
- = { definition of × }
  49

• Another possible reduction sequence: square (3 + 4)

• Another possible reduction sequence:

square (3 + 4)
= { definition of square }
(3 + 4) × (3 + 4)

• Another possible reduction sequence:

- $\cdot$  Another possible reduction sequence:
  - square (3 + 4)
    = { definition of square }
    (3 + 4) × (3 + 4)
    = { definition of + }
    7 × (3 + 4)
    = { definition of + }
    7 × 7

 $\cdot$  Another possible reduction sequence:

square (3 + 4)

= { definition of square }

 $(3+4) \times (3+4)$ 

= { definition of + }

$$7 \times (3 + 4)$$

- = { definition of + }
  - $7 \times 7$

#### 49

- In this sequence the rule for *square* is applied first. The final result stays the same.
- Do different evaluations orders always yield the same thing?

## A NON-TERMINATING REDUCTION

• Consider the following program:

three :: Int  $\rightarrow$  Int three x = 3 infinity :: Int infinity = infinity + 1

- Try evaluating *three infinity*. If we simplify *infinity* first:
  - three infinity
  - = { definition of *infinity* }
    - three (infinity + 1)
  - = three  $((infinity + 1) + 1) \dots$
- If we start with simplifying *three*:

three infinity

= { definition of three }

## **EVALUATION ORDER**

- There can be many other evaluation orders. As we have seen, some terminates while some do not.
- *normal form*: an expression that cannot be reduced anymore.
  - 49 is in normal form, while  $7 \times 7$  is not.
  - Some expressions do not have a normal form. E.g. *infinity*.
- A corollary of the Church–Rosser theorem: an expression has at most one normal form.
  - If two evaluation sequences both terminate, they reach the same normal form.

## **EVALUATION ORDER**

- Applicative order evaluation: starting with the innermost reducible expression (a redex).
- Normal order evaluation: starting with the outermost redex.
- If an expression has a normal form, normal order evaluation delivers it. Hence the name.
- For now you can imagine that Haskell uses normal order evaluation. A way to implement normal order evaluation is called *lazy evaluation*.

**FUNCTIONS** 

#### MATHEMATICAL FUNCTIONS

- Mathematically, a function is a mapping between arguments and results.
  - A function  $f :: A \to B$  maps each element in A to a unique element in B.
- In contrast, C "functions" are not mathematical functions:
  - int y = 1; int f (x:int) { return ((y++) \*
    x); }
- Functions in Haskell have no such *side-effects*: (unconstrained) assignments, IO, etc.
- Why removing these useful features? We will talk about that later in this course.

## **CURRIED FUNCTIONS**

• Consider again the function *smaller*:

smaller :: Int  $\rightarrow$  Int  $\rightarrow$  Int smaller x y = if x  $\leq$  y then x else y

- We sometimes informally call it a function "taking two arguments".
- Usage: smaller 3 4.
- Strictly speaking, however, *smaller* is a function returning a function. The type should be bracketed as  $Int \rightarrow (Int \rightarrow Int)$ .

#### PRECEDENCE AND ASSOCIATION

- In a sense, all Haskell functions takes exactly one argument.
  - Such functions are often called *curried*.
- Type:  $a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c = a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c)$ , not  $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow c$ .
- Application: f x y = (f x) y, not f (x y).
  - smaller 3 4 means (smaller 3) 4.
  - square square 3 means (square square) 3, which results in a type error.
- Function application binds tighter than infix operators.
   E.g. square 3 + 4 means (square 3) + 4.

## WHY CURRYING?

• It exposes more chances to reuse a function, since it can be partially applied.

twice ::  $(a \rightarrow a) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow a)$ twice f x = f(f x)quad :: Int  $\rightarrow$  Int quad = twice square

• Try evaluating quad 3:



= ...

#### SECTIONING

- Infix operators are curried too. The operator (+) may have type  $Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Int$ .
- Infix operator can be partially applied too.

 $(x \oplus) y = x \oplus y$  $(\oplus y) x = x \oplus y$ 

- $(1 +) :: Int \rightarrow Int$  increments its argument by one.
- (1.0 /) :: Float  $\rightarrow$  Float is the "reciprocal" function.
- (/ 2.0) :: Float  $\rightarrow$  Float is the "halving" function.

- To use an infix operator in prefix position, surrounded it in parentheses. For example, (+) 3 4 is equivalent to 3 + 4.
- Surround an ordinary function by back-quotes (not quotes!) to put it in infix position. E.g. 3 '*mod*' 4 is the same as *mod* 3 4.

## **FUNCTION COMPOSITION**

• Functions composition:

 $(\cdot) :: (b \to c) \to (a \to b) \to (a \to c)$  $(f \cdot g) x = f (g x)$ 

• E.g. another way to write *quad*:

quad :: Int  $\rightarrow$  Int quad = square  $\cdot$  square

- Some important properties:
  - $id \cdot f = f = f \cdot id$ , where id x = x.
  - $(f \cdot g) \cdot h = f \cdot (g \cdot h).$

## **GUARDED EQUATIONS**

• Recall the definition:

smaller :: Int  $\rightarrow$  Int  $\rightarrow$  Int smaller x y = if x  $\leq$  y then x else y

• We can also write:

smaller :: Int  $\rightarrow$  Int  $\rightarrow$  Int smaller x y |  $x \le y = x$ | x > y = y

• Helpful when there are many choices:

signum :: Int  $\rightarrow$  Int signum x | x > 0 = 1 | x = 0 = 0 | x < 0 = -1

## $\lambda \; {\rm Expressions}$

- Since functions are first-class constructs, we can also construct functions in expressions.
- A  $\lambda$  expression denotes an anonymous function.
  - $\lambda x \rightarrow e$ : a function with argument x and body e.
  - $\lambda x \rightarrow \lambda y \rightarrow e$  abbreviates to  $\lambda x y \rightarrow e$ .
  - In ASCII, we write  $\lambda$  as  $\setminus$
- Yet another way to define *smaller*:

smaller :: Int  $\rightarrow$  Int  $\rightarrow$  Int smaller =  $\lambda x y \rightarrow if x \le y$  then x else y

- Why  $\lambda$ s? Sometimes we may want to quickly define a function and use it only once.
- In fact,  $\lambda$  is a more primitive concept.

## LOCAL DEFINITIONS

There are two ways to define local bindings in Haskell.

• **let**-expression:

 $f :: Float \rightarrow Float \rightarrow Float$  $f \times y = \text{let } a = (x + y)/2$ b = (x + y)/3 $\text{in } (a + 1) \times (b + 2)$ 

• where-clause:

 $f :: Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Int$   $f x y \mid x \le 10 = x + a$   $\mid x > 10 = x - a$ where a = square(y + 1)

 let can be used in expressions (e.g. 1 + (let..in..)), while where qualifies multiple guarded equations.

# TYPES

## **TYPES**

- The universe of values is partitioned into collections, called *types*.
- · Some basic types: Int, Float, Bool, Char...
- Type "constructors": functions, lists, trees ...to be introduced later.
- Operations on values of a certain type might not make sense for other types. For example: *square square 3*.
- Strong typing: the type of a well-formed expression can be deducted from the constituents of the expression.
  - It helps you to detect errors.
  - More importantly, programmers may consider the types for the values being defined before considering the definition themselves, leading to clear and well-structured programs.

#### **POLYMORPHIC TYPES**

- Suppose square :: Int  $\rightarrow$  Int and sqrt :: Int  $\rightarrow$  Float.
  - square  $\cdot$  square :: Int  $\rightarrow$  Int
  - sqrt  $\cdot$  square :: Int  $\rightarrow$  Float
- The  $(\cdot)$  operator has different types in the two expressions:
  - (•) ::  $(Int \rightarrow Int) \rightarrow (Int \rightarrow Int) \rightarrow (Int \rightarrow Int)$
  - $\cdot \ (\cdot) :: (Int \rightarrow Float) \rightarrow (Int \rightarrow Int) \rightarrow (Int \rightarrow Float)$
- To allow (•) to be used in many situations, we introduce type variables and let its type be:  $(b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c).$

- Functions are essential building blocks in a Haskell program. They can be applied, composed, passed as arguments, and returned as results.
- Types sometimes guide you through the design of a program.
- Equational reasoning: let the symbols do the work!

#### **RECOMMANDED TEXTBOOKS**

- Introduction to Functional Programming using Haskell. My recommended book. Covers equational reasoning very well.
- Programming in Haskell. A thin but complete textbook.

## **ONLINE HASKELL TUTORIALS**

- Learn You a Haskell for Great Good! , a nice tutorial with cute drawings!
- Yet Another Haskell Tutorial.
- A Gentle Introduction to Haskell by Paul Hudak, John Peterson, and Joseph H. Fasel: a bit old, but still worth a read.
- *Real World Haskell.* Freely available online. It assumes some basic knowledge of Haskell, however.